1	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH				
2	United States Attorney District of Nevada				
۷	Nevada Bar Number 13644				
3	ALLISON REESE Nevada Bar Number 13977				
4	Assistant United States Attorney				
5	501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101				
5	Phone: (702) 388-6336				
6	Email: <u>allison.reese@usdoj.gov</u> Attorneys for the United States of America				
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:20-mj-00900-VCF			
10	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE			
11	VS.	THE PRELIMINARY HEARING (First Request)			
12	IVAN YAIR TOVAR-RODRIGUEZ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
12	IVAN TAIR TOVAR-RODRIGUEZ,				
13	Defendant.				
14					
15	IT IS HEREBY STIPLILATED AND	AGREED by and between NICHOLAS A			
	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between NICHOLAS A.				
16	TRUTANICH, United States Attorney, and ALLISON REESE, Assistant United States				
17	Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and Benjamin Durham, counsel for				
18	Defendant IVAN YAIR TOVAR-RODRIGUEZ, that the preliminary hearing date in the above-				
19	captioned matter, currently scheduled for October 29, 2020, at 4:00 p.m., be vacated and				
20	continued for ninety (90) days, to a date and time to be set by this Honorable Court.				
21	This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:				
22	 The Government needs additional time to produce relevant discovery to Defense 				
		· r			
23	Counsel.				
24					

1	2.	2. Defense Counsel needs additional time to review the discovery, conduct additional		
2	investigation, and confer with the Defendant about how he would like to proceed.			
3	3.	The parties need additional time to discuss resolving the case pre-indictment and		
4	if negotiatio	tiations become futile, the Government needs additional time to seek an indictment.		
5	4.	The parties agree to the continuance.		
6	5.	Defendant IVAN YAIR TOVAR-RODRIGUEZ is in custody and does not object		
7	to the continuance.			
8	6.	Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of		
9	justice.			
10	7.	The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but to		
11	allow for a potential pre-indictment resolution of the case.			
12	8.	The additional time requested by this stipulation, is allowed, with the defendant's		
13	consent und	sent under the Federal Rules of Procedure 5.1(d).		
14	9.	This is the <u>first</u> request for a continuation of the preliminary hearing.		
15	DATED: October 22, 2020			
16		Respectfully su	ibmitted,	
17		NICHOLAS A United States A	A. TRUTANICH	
18		/s/ Allison Ree	Š	
19		ALLISON RE		
20			ed States Attorney	
21				
22		/s/ Benjamin D	Purham	
23		BENJAMIN I Counsel for De	DURHAM efendant IVAN YAIR	
24		TOVAR-ROD	RIGUEZ	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** -oOo-2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No.: 2:20-mj-00900-VCF 3 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 4 5 VS. IVAN YAIR TOVAR-RODRIGUEZ, 6 Defendant. 7 8 **ORDER** 9 Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the 10 Court finds that: 1. The Government needs additional time to produce relevant discovery to Defense 11 12 Counsel. 13 2. Defense Counsel needs additional time to review the discovery, conduct additional investigation, and confer with the Defendant about how he would like to proceed. 14 3. 15 The parties need additional time to discuss resolving the case pre-indictment and 16 if negotiations become futile, the Government needs additional time to seek an indictment. 17 4. Defendant IVAN YAIR TOVAR-RODRIGUEZ is in custody and does not object 18 to the continuance. 19 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of 20 justice. 21 6. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but to 22 allow for a potential pre-indictment resolution of the case. 2.3 7. The additional time requested by this stipulation, is allowed, with the defendant's 24 consent under the Federal Rules of Procedure 5.1(d).

1 8. This is the <u>first</u> request for a continuation of the preliminary hearing.

For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the preliminary hearing date.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein to potentially resolve the case prior to indictment, and further would deny the parties sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for the preliminary hearing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is allowed, with the defendant's consent, pursuant to Federal Rules of Procedure 5.1(d).

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the preliminary hearing currently scheduled for October 29, 2020, at the hour of 4:00 p.m., be vacated and continued to _______, 2021, at the hour of 4:00p __.m..

DATED this 23rd day of October, 2020.

THE HONORABLE CAM FERENBACH UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE